

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	). FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/647,895	08/26/2003		John Blumenthal	4605-001	3576
22429	7590	09/08/2005		EXAM	INER
LOWE HA		N GILMAN AN	WRIGHT, ANDREW D		
SUITE 300				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXAND	RIA, VA	22314		3617	

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action						
Before	the	Filing	of a	n A	ppeal	Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/647,895	BLUMENTHAL ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Andrew Wright	3617		

· · ·	CAUTITIO	Air Oille					
	Andrew Wright	3617					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
HE REPLY FILED <u>26 August 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  . ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which							
places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:  The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  xtensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have een filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 FR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) bove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS	but prior to the data of filing a brid	of will not be entered	hogguso				
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.14. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			. (, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		e, timely filed amendn	nent canceling				
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
FFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  . ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
1. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment cancels claim 37. The amendment leaves claim 38 in tact. Claim 38 is written to depend from claim 37. This would create a new issue (35 USC 112, 2nd Paragraph) that would require further consideration. Furthermore, the amendment is non-responsive (See Item 13 below).

Continuation of 13. Other: The submission of 8/26/05 is non-responsive because it is incomplete. The Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment mailed by the Office on 8/22/05 advised applicant that: "If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after final amendment with corrections, the entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted within the time period set forth in the final Office action." Applicant's submission of 8/26/05 contains only a claim listing, and does not contain the entire corrected amendment. Thus the submission is non-repsonsive.

ANDREW D. WRIGHT